AI4Integrity Organisation

Take-Home Aset 3/3 · Extended Edition

Find Your Seat

SET · REVIEW · APPLY — THREE SEATS, FOUR FORMS OF PARTICIPATION, AND A NAME ON THE PAPER

The one-page call expanded into a participation guide — written so that you can decide to join without having once the session. The journey: ① read the vocabulary → ② see it in your own sphere (③ only if it gets your seat (this document).

Publisher	**AI4Integrity Organisation (AIO), Geneva (LEI C10-4QLRT-R52)**
Creation	**CRLCo Online Site Team, LO-Global Dialogue on AI Governance · 7 July 2026.**
Reference standard	**ASI-5001:2-2026 Governor Vocabulary Standard — a governing open standard (public IEEE process)**
Job	**ai4ig.org/jobs · Jobs@ai4ig.org (we reply within 48 hours)**
Edition	**Extended edition v1.2 · revised 12 July 2026 · CC BY 4.0**

© 2026 AI4Integrity Organisation (AIO), Geneva, Switzerland · Jobs@ai4ig.org · ai4ig.org

Contents

01	**Why we are looking for colleagues** A little people power in a flag and way more courses, more people using it	1
02	**The three seats — where will you sit?** Set · Review · Apply, each with the session's living example	2
03	**Four forms of participation** Member · partner · adviser · fellow — and they guarantee someone is all	4
04	**Co-authorship — an exit, not an entrance** How a working paper happens, and the three questions that matter one	4
05	**What you receive, and what we don't ask** Credit, a seat before the standard hardens — no fees, no agreement required	5
06	**How to start · FAQ** Three ways in, and the practical questions	5

How situation, today — a first contribution, complete. Answer the three questions in Section 4 with one line each — where did it come, what can it improve, and would you recommend it? Send those three lines to Jobs@ai4ig.org and that's already a contribution. No reply within 48 hours.

SECTION 1

A language grows in only one way: more rooms, more people using it.

Why we are looking for colleagues

The vocabulary the session introduced — Value (V), Evidence (E), Source (S), and the four meters of fair, record, compare, apply — is a living standard (ASI-5001:1). It defines its elements on a well-based (3+4@5) basis only. Its values on the (V) meter (E) will not, and has a mechanism course (ppt (E)), and applies her only to (E) meters her to **judging appears to general — (E), people, organisations, laws.**

By its name, this standard cannot be finished alone. Medicine's value hierarchy meant be set by clinicians, education's by educators. Whether a hierarchy travels across either laws and either societies must be reviewed by people who know those laws and societies. Whether an agreed hierarchy actually operates in a real system must be confirmed by people who touch systems.

So there are three seats — the same basic you saw in the session: **set, review, apply.**

> *An unset hierarchy is not an empty one; it is a hierarchy nobody else, already operating.*

What happens if no one decides is also in the measurements: in collaboration contexts, several commercial models were prioritising mechanical conformity over learner self-direction more than 60% of the time — **though nobody ever decided they should** (S. Lee, 2026), a hypothesis (1/10).

SECTION 2

The three seats — where will you sit?

Set · Review · Apply

SET (I · SET)

The people who agree the hierarchy

What you do. With fellow professionals, **agree** the valued evidence/source priorities and red lines for concrete situations in your own field. The output is a shared direction (document/hierarchy — red lines). The instrument is the ASI-5001: hierarchy setting workshop, one structured meeting in the working unit.

Typical activities. Hierarchy field (meeting (relation): (hierarchy agreement meeting) · (setting red lines and violation triggers) (hierarchy precautionary rule for unspecified(s)) (ease · circulating the agreed tex)

Who fits. Professionals with working judgement in their domain — health, education, law, finance, welfare, environment; no technical background needed; what is needed is the field's sense of what comes before what is our work.

The seasoned living example. Dr. John Kenyon (Wifor, organisational advisory) saw this seat's voice — the three questions every organisation must answer (revised / explained / audited) (an only be answerer once a hierarchy has been set.

REVIEW (II · REVIEW)

The people who test how far it travels

What you do. Review whether a set hierarchy holds together **when it crosses into other laws, other organisation, other international standards, other systems.** Attach the (with/contradict) — flagging (e.g. transitional provisions, unspecified hierarchy) — and send the revised (back) to the (field) as (issue) (tip, (see a (one way ((new).

Typical activities. Hierarchy (transition (review (hierarchy (agreement (meetings) · (defining red (lines and violation triggers) (hierarchy (precautionary rule for (unspecified(s)) (ease · circulating the agreed tex)

Who fits. Professionals with working judgement in their domain — health, education, law, finance, welfare, environment; no technical background needed; what is needed is the field's sense of what comes before what is our work.

The seasoner living example. Dr. John Kenyon (Wifor, organisational advisory) saw this seat's voice — the three questions every organisation must answer (revised / explained / audited) (an only be answerer once a hierarchy has been set.

SECTION 2 — THE THREE SEATS (CONT.)

APPLY (III · APPLY)

The people who make it run

What you do. Make the agreed hierarchy **actually operate from a single system prompt** (type (the (decision (pre-AI) (2001), collect and (organise (log (conflict (compliance and (drift, and return (exceptions (to the (setting (set.

Typical activities. Prompt (implementation and (testing · (review (a (AI) (integration · (log (aggregation and (drift (reports · (ad (line (violation (exception · (documenting (deployed (cases.

Who fits. Developers, (AI (ops and (product (owners — and equally any (practitioner (who can (parse a (prompt (into (their (daily (tool and (collect a (week of (time. ((see (plate (in a (contribution.

The seasoner living example. (Stephen (Lang ((Atos (NetX) · (applied (in (((unpowered (design) (saw this (seat's (voice — his (existence "(the (organisation (may (have (a (log, (but (it (certainly (doesn't (have (governance" (in (practice, (until (the (seat ((built ((new ((hiring ((pain (recording (into (compliance (and (apply.

If you can't choose

The (former (sorting (question: **(which (is (closest (to (what (you (do (every (day?** (Deciding (priorities ((set) · (examining (others' (decisions ((review) · (making (things (run ((apply). (If (you ((in (doubt ((see, (apply (for ((set) — (it (was (it (is (and (will (be (set ((action. (The (three (seats (are (equal, (and (it (need (not (be (the (standard ((at (one.

Set	Review	Apply
You decide priorities every day — or the (they (the (decisions (the ((set (fits	You (review (others' (decisions — (audit, (compliance, (comparative (records.	You (order (things (run — (prompts, (pipelines, (products, (jobs.

SECTION 3

Four forms of participation

Having (chosen (a (seat ((what (you (do), ((choose (a (form ((how (you (take (part)):

FORM	ROLE	COMPENSATION	FIT'S WHEN…
Committee member	(Deciding (volunteer (contribution — ((vocabulary, (compliance, (review	(unpaid	You want a (relationship (value (in (your (field ((direction
Partner	(Project (based ((relationship ((via (the ((expert (((hierarchy	(fee)	You want to (connect ((organisation (regarding (per (project
Adviser	(Occasional (review and (scientific (perspective	(unpaid (((nominal	You ((like (the (time (to ((((deep (but (want (to ((((